## Case 4:13-cr-00466-JSW Document 117 Filed 04/25/14 Page 1 of 1

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

United States of America,	Case No. 13 - CR-466 - JSW
Plaintiff, )	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Decier Williams	APR 2 5 2014
Defendant. )	DI FRK II S DISTRICT COURT
	7 , 2014 and finds that the ends of justice served by the c and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. §
Failure to grant a continuance work See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(I).	uld be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of the prose or law, that it is unreasonable to e	elex, due to [circle applicable reasons] the number of ecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial ished by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
——————————————————————————————————————	uld deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, f due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	uld unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, give mmitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
	uld unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time n, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
	d, it is further ordered that time is excluded under 18 U.S.C. § ent of the defendant under Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure
IT IS SO ORDERED.	1/200 11/1000
DATED: (2), 2014	Hon. Kandis A. Westmore United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: Atom	Hari B.

Assistant United States Attorney

Attorney for Defendant